

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O. FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,509	06/25/2003		Roman Frick	33997.0086	3642
26712	7590	07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
HODGS	ON RUSS I	LLP	LE, TAN		
ONE M & T PLAZA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2000				ARTONII	
BUFFALO	D, NY 142	03-2391	3632		

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/603,509	FRICK, ROMAN					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Tan Le	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	ay 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

M

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 07072005

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the third office action for serial number 10/603,509. This application remains 10 pending claims.

2. Acknowledgement of Applicant's abstract entered filed 5/02/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,035,228 to Yanof et al.

Yanof et al. discloses a frameless stereotactic arm (30) (Fig. 2) comprising a stand column (46 for example); a pivot arm (50, 54 or 106, 114); a rotary bearing (at each joint) for mounting the pivot arm on the stand column; an electromagnetic brake (Figs. 4, 5 for example) for locking the pivoting motion of the pivot arm in the rotary bearing (at the joint or knuckle 58, for example); and a mechanical brake (170) (Fig. 7) having adjustable braking force for braking the pivot arm in the rotary bearing. (The electromagnetic brake and mechanical brake devices are provided at respective joints to selectively lock the free end (40) of the arm). The stand of Yanof et al. can be embodied as both a floor stand or a ceiling mounted (42).

J

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-8 are objected to, but would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/02/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that the mechanical brake 170 in Fig. 7 of Yanof stops rotation of lower arm 54 relative to knuckle member 58, and has nothing to do with braking rotation of pivot arms 50, 54, 106 or 114, as indicated by examiner, in rotary bearing 58 on stand column 46. Therefore, mechanical brake 170 of Yanof does not correspond to mechanical brake 7 of the present application, examiner respectfully submits that Applicant's argument is well taken but it is not persuasive because of the following reasons: First, Yanof clearly teaches a mechanical brake (Fig. 7, element 170 for example) having adjustable braking force for braking the pivot arm in the rotary bearing where the examiner shows the rotary bearing is at the joint as pointed out in the rejection. It should be noted that elements 44, 48, 52, 56 are all pivot joints. Second, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the

Application/Control Number: 10/603,509

Art Unit: 3632

i #

prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this instant case, the mechanical brake is clearly capable of braking the pivot arm in the rotary bearing joint. The rejection of claims 1 and 9-10 as being anticipated by Yanof is therefore still maintained.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-6818.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. from 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone

Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan Le July 7, 2005.